



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
(MBHB Case No. 99-447)

In re Application of:

Michael S. Borella et al.

Serial No.: 09/511,735

Filed: February 24, 2000

For: Method and Application Programming Interface )  
for Assigning Multiple Network Addresses )

Examiner: Bengzon, Greg C.

Group Art Unit: 2144

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In regard to the above-identified application:

1. We are transmitting herewith the attached
  - a. Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85
  - b. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
  - c. Check in the Amount of \$1,403.00
  - d. Return Receipt Postcard
2. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR §1.10: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper described in paragraph 1, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the 19<sup>th</sup> of December, 2006. Express Mail Label No.: EV 596646888 US.

Respectfully submitted,  
**McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP**

Date: December 19, 2006

By:

Thomas E. Wettermann  
Reg. No. 41,523



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
(MBHB Case No. 99-447)

In re Application of:

Michael S. Borella et al.

Serial No.: 09/511,735

Filed: February 24, 2000

For: Method and Application Programming Interface )  
for Assigning Multiple Network Addresses )

Group Art Unit: 2144

Examiner: Bengzon, Greg C.

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowance mailed October 5, 2006, the Applicants express appreciation for the allowance of the present application. The Applicants note the Examiner's reasons for allowance, but further comment that the art of record, along and in combination, fails to show, teach or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims of the present invention.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims. The Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. The Applicants believe that the Statements of Reasons for Allowance in this case are improper as it merely copies limitations of the claims

into the reasons for allowance. While the Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, the Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in the features, as explicitly set forth in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,  
**McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP**

Date: December 19, 2006

By:

  
Thomas E. Wettermann  
Reg. No. 41,523